

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,183 03/31/2004		03/31/2004	Cary D. Talbot	047711-0338	7016
23392	7590	06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY	& LARDNE	ER	JACKSON, STEPHEN W		
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GELES, CA	90067	2836		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.17			
	Application No	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,183	TALBOT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	Stephen W. Jac	ckson 2836				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS C ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how mmunication. Is statutory period will apply and will expin ply will, by statute, cause the application as after the mailing date of this communication.	OMMUNICATION. wever, may a reply be timely filed e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) 1	filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pra-	ctice under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 63-99 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 63 and 81 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 64-80 and 82 is/are object to rest	/are withdrawn from conside . cted to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2 Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	2004 is/are: a) \square accepted objection to the drawing(s) be helting the correction is required if the	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). he drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priori3. Copies of the certified copie	ty documents have been rec ty documents have been rec es of the priority documents h tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Application No nave been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	al Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-6-04.	(PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 83-99 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-62 of U.S. Patent No. 6,801,420.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims make use of special arrangements of the potting material to implement the protective function of the invention. Any differences between the two sets of claims are only minor design variations well within the abilities of persons of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 63,81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shepter.

In the device taught by Shepter, the load is understood to be the vibrator and the thermal sensor 50 and peak current detector 52 are the safety circuits. Element 36 meets the "enable" and "disable" language of the claims. The shut down logic unit 44 produces disconnection of the load as part of the safety function.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 64-80 and 82 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the above mentioned claims add limitations that relate to the use of a DC-DC converter in a manner considered to be an inventive step.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,183 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SWJackson

June 12, 2006

Hephen w Jackson 6-12-06

> STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER